

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/455,807	12/07/1999		John Beezer	3797.84618	5593
28319	7590 06/2	27/2003			•
	WITCOFF LTI	EXAMINER			
ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT 1001 G STREET , N.W.				SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
ELEVENTH STREET WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597		1597		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2174	1/
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

3

a) will expiremonths from the date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action for have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of action (7) (2) as set forth in (3) above. Appellant's field is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.13(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed			BEXAMINER in charge of this application ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	•
a) will expiremonths from the date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of the final Office action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action. Applicant have been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the filed properties extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set from in (6) above. Appellant's Reflet is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.132(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed		ADVIS	ORY ACTION	
b) expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than aix months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) and the appropriate the final Office action and a purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.178(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's reply to the final rejection, filled	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:			
whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for repty expire later than six months from the risal date of the final Office action. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for repty originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's repty to the final rejection, filled	a) U will expire	months from the date of the	final Office action (including extensions of time gra	ınted).
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (6) above. Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed	/ whichever is later.	In no event, however, will the statu		
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed	extension fee have been filed The appropriate extension fe	is the date for purposes of determine under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated	ning the period of extension and the corresponding d from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stat	amount of the fee.
to place the application in condition for allowance. 1 The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: A Least to the final rejectors of the standard for a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claim would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: A policant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because a purple of the standard for presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIMARY EXAMINER	Appellant's Brief is due in	accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		,
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 1. If the additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 1. If the additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claim would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claim allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 3.0 However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because a function of the proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. 1. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. 2. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. 3. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier pr			has been considered with the following effect,	but it is not deemed
Carrier presented.	1. The proposed amendm	ent to the claim and/or specifications	s will not be entered and the final rejection stands t	Decause:
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claim would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Voon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claim allowed: Claims rejected:			, , ,	as not
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claim	b. They raise new issu	CONTRACTOR OF STATE O	· · · · ·	
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The affidation of the status of the status of the claims A understand of the status of the claims 2. Newly proposed or amended claim	c. They raise the issue	of new matter. (See Note).		
NOTE: The allow feature of neuroning directly 1. Sure of lent		d to place the application in better fo	orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying	the issues
NOTE: The allow feature of neuroning directly 1. Sure of lent	e. They present addition	nal claims without cancelling a com	esponding number of finally rejected claims.	
A la notation at the status of the claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claim	Prince the Company of		1.	last other
2. Newly proposed or amended claim		station of the atlant	- the spect bring no	c-scepts to
amendment cancelling the non-allowable ctaims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claim allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because A the direct of the claims will not be considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRINTARY EXAMINER		edaim and war	man further flavel & Cons	deration
Claim allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected:			would be allowed if submitted in a separe	itely filed
Claims rejected:		peal, the proposed amendment	will be entered and the sta	itus of the claims
Claims rejected: - 3 0 However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because	Claim allowed:			<u> </u>
However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because An endment, 9 the standard has the following rejection has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because An endment, 9 the standard has the following rejection because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVIARY EXAMINER	· · · · —	1-7-		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because A		1-30		
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Ahendwent, 9 +biosh havin, hevit, Cahhet because the captured, per the glove The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER				
antered, per the glove 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER		arcome the following rejection(s):		
antered, per the glove 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER	4 XI The official exhibit	or request for recordidately book		
2 N + C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	4. A The amoavity exhibit	9 h endment	9 1 + South having the rejection	Cahnet be
presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER		entered, per t		
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER	•	it will not be considered because an	oplicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons	why it was not earlier
Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA). STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER		ng correction has has not b	een approved by the examiner.	· ·
STEVEN SAX PRIIVARY EXAMINER	- 1			
PRIVARY EXAMINER	Applicant may obtain ful	ther examination by filing a request	for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).	1
	The state of the s	Carlos S		9
The second section of the second section secti	The survey of the same of the		the second secon	
	FORM PTOL 303 (REV. 298)		CALLED THE STATE OF THE STATE O	C. Landing